

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/802,439	03/17/2004	William Morrison	H0004497-9986(1161.115510	3524	
128	7590 10/23/2006	,	EXAMINER		
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			ROST, ANDREW J		
101 COLUMI P O BOX 224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245		•	3753		

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	1	
10/802,439	MORRISON, WILLIAM		
Examiner	Art Unit	-	
Andrew J. Rost	3753		
 L			

	Andrew J. Rost	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply multiples and date of the final rejection. Indivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contour (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		·	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered and and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	Is to provide a).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	•	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
		AJR					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented are not persuasive. The arguments as to the cause of water hammer by a biasing mechanism and the removal of water hammer in the system by a brake mechanism are not persuasive. The pressence of water hammer and the removal of water hammer in the system are characteristics of the fluid flow including the flow rate of the fluid. Water hammer would not occur in a water system with a small fluid flow. Therefore, the arguments with respect the addition and removal of water hammer by the biasing mechanism and the brake, respectively, are not persuasive.

The remaining arguments are not persuasive for the reasons outlined in the Final Action mailed on 08/09/2006.

ERIC KEASEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700